



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Analysis of Strongyle Egg Shedding Consistency in Horses and Factors That Affect It

Lester, H. E., Morgan, E. R., Hodgkinson, J. E., & Matthews, J. B. (2018). Analysis of Strongyle Egg Shedding Consistency in Horses and Factors That Affect It. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, 60, 113-119.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2017.04.006>

Published in:
Journal of Equine Veterinary Science

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2017 Elsevier Inc.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>, which permits distribution and reproduction for noncommercial purposes, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

1 Analysis of strongyle egg shedding consistency in horses and
2 factors that affect it

3

4 H.E. Lester^{a,c*}, E.R. Morgan^b, J.E. Hodgkinson^c, J.B. Matthews^a

5 ^a*Moredun Research Institute, EH26 0PZ, UK*

6 ^b*School of Veterinary Sciences, University of Bristol, BS40 5DU, UK.*

7 ^c*Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, L3 5RF, UK*

8

9 *Corresponding author.

10 *E-mail address:* lester.hannah@yahoo.com (H.E. Lester).

11

12 Abstract

13 Strongyle egg shedding consistency in horses and factors affecting consistency were
14 investigated. Faecal samples were collected from 26 equine populations over one
15 grazing season. Samples were collected on four 'screening' occasions (S1–S4) and
16 FEC performed using a sensitive method (to 1 eggs per gram (epg) egg detection
17 limit). On each screening occasion, FEC were assigned an egg shedding category: 1
18 (<50 epg) to 7 (>500 epg); and a treatment category: <200 epg (no treatment) or ≥
19 200 epg (treatment). Rank changes in shedding and treatment categories between S1
20 and subsequent screening occasions were calculated. Factors affecting the likelihood
21 of an individual changing shedding or treatment category were assessed using
22 multivariable logistic regression of FEC data from horses that had not received
23 anthelmintic during the study. In total, 573 horses were sampled at S1, 468 at S2, 417
24 at S3 and 83 at S4. Results showed that between S1 and S4, 73.5% (61/83) horses
25 remained in the same egg shedding category and 94.0% (78/83) in the same treatment
26 category. For horses that did not receive anthelmintic (n=304), 90.4% (225/304)
27 remained in the same egg shedding category. Horses under 5 years-old were more
28 likely to change egg shedding (odds ratio, OR 3.3, 95% CI: 1.22-8.46) and treatment
29 (OR 2.8, 95% CI: 1.1-6.3) categories compared to older horses. These results suggest
30 a high level of consistency in strongyle egg shedding in individuals within one
31 season, and withholding anthelmintics from horses with negative or low (i.e. <50 epg)
32 FEC does not appear to be associated with significant increases in egg shedding.

33

34

35 *Keywords:* Horse, Cyathostomins, Strongyle egg shedding, Targeted anthelmintic
36 treatment

1. Introduction

Anthelmintic resistant nematodes pose a threat to equine health and welfare. Targeting anthelmintic treatments to individual horses based on levels of nematode egg shedding is proposed to achieve control, whilst reducing anthelmintic use and selection for resistance. Helminth parasites are typically overdispersed in their hosts: i.e. relatively few individuals within populations are infected with the majority of the associated parasite population [1-4]. Strongyle nematodes in the large intestine are the most important equine parasites and have a high prevalence [5]. Overdispersion has been demonstrated for strongyle faecal egg counts (FEC) in horses, with most individuals shedding relatively low numbers of eggs [6-8]. This overdispersion underpins the rationale behind targeted anthelmintic treatment strategies [5, 9-10], whereby only horses identified as shedding above a specific threshold of eggs (typically ≥ 200 eggs per gram (epg)) are recommended for treatment. Several studies have shown consistency in strongyle egg shedding patterns in individuals over time [7, 11-14], which could further enhance efficient targeting of anthelmintic treatments and reduce the required frequency of FEC testing. The aim here was to investigate strongyle egg shedding consistency in populations of horses in the UK that followed a targeted anthelmintic treatment programme, with a focus on horses identified as low strongyle egg shedders (< 50 epg), to examine whether non-treatment of these individuals was associated with increases in egg shedding levels over time. This study also analysed factors associated with shedding consistency in individuals within these populations. A **longitudinal cohort** study design was used.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

During 2010–2012, 573 horses from 26 equine holdings (yards) in Scotland and England were recruited (see *supplementary data*). The number of individual's resident on each yard ranged from 7–72 (median, 20 horses). Most horses (i.e. those on 19 yards) received moxidectin prior to the start of the study, although all had received a macrocyclic lactone (ML) within the six months prior to the start of the study (n=573). On three yards, information on which anthelmintic was last administered was not supplied. Most horses were resident at livery yards (n=23 yards). The remainder comprised of a non-Thoroughbred stud farm, a sport horse yard and a rescue/welfare sanctuary. In total, 573 horses were screened at S1, 468 horses at S2 and 417 at S3. A total of 83 horses on three yards were screened at S4. Each horse was assigned an age category. The age distribution was: foal (<2 years; n=26), youngster (≥ 2 and <5 years; n=68), adult (≥ 5 and < 18 years; n=418) and geriatric (≥ 18 years; n=61).

All horses included in this study had access to grazing, were at pasture during the study and had been treated with a ML anthelmintic within the previous 6 months. Horses were at pasture for a minimum of eight hours per day, and were grazed on the same pastures for the duration of the trial (i.e. returned to the same pasture after sampling and treatment). Yards with a minimum of 10 horses were included and all horses were subject to the same anthelmintic treatment regimen (Section 2.2). Each yard was supplied with a questionnaire to provide information on the demographics (i.e. yard function, number of horses etc.), anthelmintic usage (frequency of treatment, last product used, type of deworming programme followed) and general management

practices. Yard managers were asked to supply the age of the horses included in the study, but not specific details on breed and sex. On all yards, the manager was the point of contact and was responsible for completion of the questionnaire, coordinating sample collection and postage, and administering anthelmintic treatments.

2.2. Targeted treatment protocol

Horses that were previously treated with anthelmintic were sampled after a minimum period of 18 weeks had elapsed after administration of moxidectin, or 14 weeks for ivermectin. The first sample (Screen 1, S1, $n=573$) was collected between February and March. Horses with FEC ≥ 200 epg were treated with pyrantel embonate following the manufacturer's instructions (Strongid-P^{TMa} at a dose rate of 19mg/kg bodyweight). All horses were FEC screened 8-10 weeks later: this was based on a 6-week strongyle egg reappearance period (ERP) for pyrantel embonate [15, 16], plus an additional two weeks (Screen 2, S2; May/June, $n=468$). Horses with FEC ≥ 200 epg at S2 were treated with ivermectin (Eqvalan[®] oral paste for horses^b; 0.2mg/kg). All horses were screened 10–12 weeks later based on an ivermectin strongyle ERP of 8-10 weeks [15], plus two additional weeks (Screen 3, S3; August/September, $n=417$). At this point, horses with a FEC of ≥ 200 epg were treated with moxidectin (Equest^{®c}; 0.4 mg/kg). On some yards a fourth screen (S4; October/December, $n=83$) was performed on horses that had not received anthelmintic treatment following S3.

2.3. Sample collection and faecal egg count methodology

Samples were collected from freshly passed faeces and placed into individually labeled zip-lock bags. Yard managers were provided with instructions on how to collect, store and post the samples, and were asked to collect at least three boli from

freshly voided faeces and to place these into the bag, expelling air before sealing. The samples were sent immediately to the Moredun Research Institute and stored at approximately 4°C. All samples were processed within 4 days of excretion to reduce the effect of egg degradation [17]. A modification of the salt flotation method (1.2 specific gravity) with a detection limit down to 1 epg was used [18]. All samples were analysed in duplicate by taking two 10 ml aliquots from a 100 ml dilution of a well-mixed 10 g sub-sample and an average taken to estimate the epg count.

2.4. Data analysis

2.4.1. Egg shedding and anthelmintic treatment categories

Each FEC data point on each screening occasion (S1, S2, S3, S4) was assigned a shedding category: 1 (0–49 epg); 2 (50–99 epg); 3 (100–199 epg); 4 (200–299 epg); 5 (300–399 epg); 6 (400–499 epg) and 7 (>500 epg). Each FEC data point was also assigned a treatment category: < 200 epg (0, no treatment) or \geq 200 epg (1, treatment).

2.4.2. Egg shedding consistency

To assess shedding consistency, the rank change in shedding category between S1 and S2, S1 and S3 and S1 and S4 was calculated. For example, if the category was ranked as 1 for S1 and 6 for S2, the rank change would be 5. Conversely, if the category was measured as 6 for S1 and 3 for S3, the rank change would be -3. If the category remained the same, rank change assigned was 0.

2.4.3. Anthelmintic treatment consistency

Treatment category consistency was determined across S1 and S2, S1 and S3 and S1 and S4. If an individual's FEC changed from < 200 epg to ≥ 200 epg between two sampling points, the rank assigned was 1. If it changed from ≥ 200 epg to < 200 epg, it was assigned as -1, and if it remained above or below the 200 epg threshold, was assigned 0.

2.4.4. Egg shedding and treatment consistency analysis

For each sampling occasion, the number and percentage of horses in each shedding and treatment category were calculated. Further, the number and percentage of horses that were in each rank change category of shedding (-6 to 6) and treatment (-1, 0 or 1) between S1 and each subsequent screening occasion was calculated. To test if there was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in the proportion of horses falling into each shedding and treatment category between screening occasions, a binomial test was performed using the prop.test function in RStudio. All analyses were performed in RStudio, version 2.15.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2012). The analysis that was applied to the anthelmintic-treated horses was applied to horses that had not received anthelmintic during the study to assess if no treatment was associated with lower shedding consistency and rising FEC over time. All horses included in this analysis had FEC < 200 epg at S1.

2.4.5. Factors affecting egg shedding and anthelmintic treatment consistency

The effect of age, last anthelmintic administered and number of weeks after the expected ERP of each anthelmintic on the likelihood of a horse changing shedding or

treatment category between S1 and S3 was assessed using multivariable logistic regression. Factors included in the initial model are shown in Table 1. Regression analysis was performed using the GLM function in R (RStudio, version 2.15.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2012)), specifying the family as binomial, linked to logit transformation, g , where P is the probability of a horse changing shedding or treatment category, β_i is the model (slope) coefficient and X_i is the explanatory variable (Equation 1).

$$g = \ln[P/(1-P)] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_0 + \dots + \beta_k X_k$$

Equation 1

The probability of a change in egg shedding and treatment category was estimated using Equation 2.

$$P = \exp[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_0 + \dots + \beta_k X_k] / (1 + \exp[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_0 + \dots + \beta_k X_k])$$

Equation 2

Regression models were initially populated with all potential explanatory variables (age category, last treatment, time in weeks since expected ERP elapsed, Table 1), then variables with the highest, non-significant p -values removed in a stepwise process until a model with only significant terms remained. The effect of removing factors from the model was evaluated using log-likelihood ratio tests (LRT) [19, 20]. P -values (Wald) of ≤ 0.05 indicated factors that had a significant influence on changing shedding or treatment category in the final model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test [21] was used to assess overall model fit using the ‘ResourceSelection’ package [22].

3. Results

3.1. Consistency of strongyle egg shedding and treatment with anthelmintic

At S1, 70.0% (401/573) of horses were shedding less than 50 epg (Figure 1a). This level of shedding was not significantly different at S2 (65.0%, 304/468) and S3 (66.2%, 276/417), with the proportions in shedding categories 2 and 3 also not significantly different compared to S1. The percentage of horses in category 7 was low compared to the percentage of horses in category 1: for example, 5.8% (33/573) at S1 and 0.0% (0/83) at S4. On each occasion, the percentage of horses in the 'no treatment' category ranged from 83.6% (479/573) at S1 to 91.6% (76/83) at S4 (Figure 1b).

3.2. Egg shedding and treatment consistency

Most horses remained in the same egg shedding and treatment category over time (Figure 2a). From S1 to S2, 61.5% horses (288/468) remained in the same shedding category; from S1-S3, 58.3% (243/417), and from S1-S4, 73.5% (61/83). Between S1 and S2, 16.6% (77/468) horses moved to a lower shedding category and 22.0% (103/468) to a higher shedding category. Between S1 and S3, 23.7% (99/417) moved into a lower shedding category and 18.0% (75/417) moved into a higher shedding category. Between S1 and S4, 14.5% (12/83) horses moved into a lower shedding category and 8.3% (10/83) individuals moved to a higher shedding category. Between S1 and S2, S1 and S3 and S1 and S4, 81.8% (383/468), 82.7% (345/417) and 94.0% (78/83) horses remained in the same treatment category, respectively (Figure 2b). The egg shedding consistency in a sub-group that did not receive anthelmintic during the entire study ($n=304$) was investigated. The proportion of

horses shedding < 50 epg at S1 was 97.3% (296/304), at S2, 84.9% (258/304) and at S3, 85.5% (213/249). The change in proportion of horses shedding <50 epg was consistent throughout the study ($p>0.05$). Between S1 and S2, 92.1% (280/304) horses remained in the same shedding category, 0.3% (1/304) were in a lower shedding category and 7.9% (23/304) in a higher shedding category. Between S1 and S3, the percentage of horses that remained in the same shedding category was 90.4% (225/304) and the percentage of horses that were in a higher shedding category was 9.6% (24/304).

3.3. Factors associated with changing strongyle egg shedding category or treatment category over time

Only horses (n=346) for which information on age and last anthelmintic treatment were available were included in these analyses. Factors affecting the likelihood of a horse changing egg shedding category were investigated (Model 1). In the final model, age (young horses ≥ 2 - <5 years) and last treatment with moxidectin were identified as significant explanatory variables (Table 2). Young horses (≥ 2 - < 5 years) were more likely to change strongyle egg shedding category compared to foals (<2 years), adult horses (≥ 5 - <18 years) and geriatric horses (≥ 18 years, OR=3.3, 95% CI=1.22 – 8.46, $p=0.02$), while horses that had received moxidectin at the last anthelmintic treatment were less likely to change strongyle egg shedding category compared to those that received ivermectin or pyrantel (OR=0.15, 95% CI=0.05-0.17, $p<0.0001$). Factors affecting the likelihood of a horse changing treatment category between S1 and S3 were also investigated (Model 2). In the final model (Table 2), young horses (≥ 2 - < 5 years) were more likely to change treatment category compared to foals (<2 years), adult horses (≥ 5 - <18 years) and geriatric horses (≥ 18

years, OR=2.8, 95% CI = 1.1-6.3, $p=0.03$) and horses that received moxidectin as their last treatment were significantly less likely to change treatment group compared to horses that received ivermectin or pyrantel (OR=0.15, 95% CI=0.1-0.4, $p < 0.0001$).

4. Discussion

Knowledge that horses shed strongyle eggs at consistent levels over time can help underpin evidence-based targeted treatment control programmes [23]. Currently, FEC-directed targeted treatment programmes are recommended for adult horses, whereby individuals are screened for strongyle egg shedding every 4-6 weeks during the grazing season, and those excreting ≥ 200 epg treated with anthelmintic [10]. Here, strongyle egg shedding in horses, analysed over three to four sampling occasions within a grazing season, was found to be consistent. These results agree with those of previous studies which sampled horses over longer periods (1–3 years) [12-14] and shorter periods [11]. Each of these studies reported a high level of shedding consistency in horses sampled from the general population. One study reported that, if the first two FEC were 0 epg, there was an 82% probability that the third FEC would be 0 epg and a 91% chance that it would be < 200 epg. Additionally, these authors found that if the first two counts were < 200 epg, there was an 84% chance that the next FEC would be < 200 epg, and if the first two FEC were ≥ 200 epg, there was a 59% probability that the next FEC would be ≥ 200 epg [12]. This latter study demonstrated that egg shedding was consistent over a longer sampling period compared to that of the current one, particularly in horses that were measured as shedding 0 epg at the start. In a later survey [13], which followed a similar sampling time frame to ours, horses were treated with anthelmintic when the FEC was measured as ≥ 250 epg and only data from horses that did not receive anthelmintic

255 were analysed. The authors reported that if the first two FEC were 0 epg, there was a
256 62% probability that the maximum FEC of the next seven samples would be 0 epg,
257 and if the first two FEC were 0 epg, there was an 88% probability that the maximum
258 FEC of the next seven counts would be <200 epg. The authors concluded that, for
259 individual horses, the magnitude of the initial FEC was significantly positively
260 correlated to the maximal FEC of the subsequent eight counts [13]. In a further study,
261 the repeatability of strongyle egg counts was assessed in naturally infected horses
262 [14]. In that study, samples were collected over nine consecutive months, and
263 analysed using a McMaster method with an egg count detection limit of 20 epg. These
264 authors defined repeatability as the variance between horses divided by the total
265 variance, meaning that a value of 0 indicates no consistency in FEC and a value of 1
266 indicates perfect consistency. Using raw egg counts (i.e. the number of actual eggs
267 counted before applying the multiplication factor), the within-horse repeatability was
268 0.52 in all horses and 0.53 when horses that had received treatment were excluded
269 from the analysis [14]. Despite each of the studies described following different
270 counting methodology and distinctive statistical analyses, all demonstrate that
271 strongyle egg shedding in horses was consistent over short and longer sampling time-
272 frames, especially in low egg shedding categories, indicating that such horses tend to
273 excrete low numbers of eggs in the absence of anthelmintic treatment, over a
274 prolonged period. In contrast to these reports, one study examined strongyle egg
275 shedding consistency in ponies managed for conservation purposes, which remained
276 largely untreated with anthelmintic over several years, and found that shedding
277 consistency at individual level was generally weak [7]. In that study, FEC data were
278 analysed using general additive mixed models to estimate repeatability of FEC at
279 individual level and to test for differences in mean FEC amongst populations and age

280 classes. Climate and season were found to exert a significant effect on FECs
281 measured in individuals in populations that did not receive anthelmintic and a strong
282 interaction was identified between age and climate. The lack of individual consistency
283 observed by Wood et al. (2013) compared with other studies [11-14] could be due to
284 the length of time over which the data were collected, the FEC method used, the
285 absence of anthelmintic treatments, the type of statistical analysis undertaken, or the
286 nature of and level of exposure to parasite infection.

287 Past studies investigating strongyle egg shedding consistency focused on estimates of
288 strongyle FEC using McMaster methods with egg detection limits (dl) between 20
289 and 50 epg [7, 11-14]. In the current study, a more sensitive count method was used.
290 FEC generated using McMaster methods tend to generate higher epg estimates and
291 greater variance. In addition, using a FEC method with a higher egg dl (i.e.
292 multiplication factor), the methodology will be less sensitive to relatively small
293 changes in egg abundance, and larger multiplication factors will artificially inflate
294 variance [6, 24]. This may potentially lead to lower observed consistency between
295 egg counts from the same individual over time because of the greater degree of
296 artefactual variation in FEC. On the other hand, the higher number of false negative
297 FEC arising from the limited dl of traditional McMaster methods could artificially
298 increase apparent consistency of 0 measured epg over time in low-shedding horses. In
299 the present study, measures were taken to ensure samples were collected, stored and
300 processed in a way to minimise egg degradation [17], to ensure that a representative
301 sample was collected to reduce egg clumping in faeces [10], and to minimise the
302 effects of rounding error by using a very sensitive FEC method. However, the way in
303 which the samples were collected and handled on each yard on each sampling
304 occasion could have impacted the consistency of the results.

Here, egg shedding consistency was higher in adult and geriatric horses compared to youngsters. This lower level of consistency in FEC shedding in younger horses could be related to lower immunity [25] compared with older horses, such that variation in parasite challenge is less buffered and feeds through to downstream variation in FEC.

In the current study, horses that were treated with moxidectin prior to the start of the study were less likely to change shedding or treatment category compared to those that had been treated with ivermectin or pyrantel. A likely reason for this is that shedding would be lower in horses previously treated with moxidectin due to its persistent effect against parasites *in vivo* [26]. Moxidectin has an elimination half-life of 23.11 days compared to ivermectin (4.25 days) and pyrantel (13.43 hours) [26]. This means that parasites are exposed to active anthelmintic for longer periods; hence the greater strongyle ERP observed after moxidectin treatment [26]. Moxidectin exhibits higher larvicidal activity compared to the other two anthelmintics, particularly against mucosal larvae [26-28]. This will also affect the strongyle ERP observed after moxidectin administration compared to other equine anthelmintics [26, 29].

Recruitment of yards was non-random, being through veterinary practices and the BHS website. Nevertheless, there was heterogeneity in the yards recruited, which is likely to have provided a fairly representative sample of the UK equine population, outside breeding establishments, which have a younger age profile [6]. As such, further research is required to assess consistency of strongyle egg shedding in populations on breeding farms.

It should be noted that the FEC data collected here were obtained over a single grazing season, and the effect of season and climate were not accounted for, both of which can impact on strongyle egg shedding patterns [7]. Furthermore, the effects of

management practices such as removal of faeces from pasture were not investigated, which has been shown to significantly reduce FEC in donkeys that grazed pasture where dung was removed twice-weekly by reducing larval populations on pasture [30]. Long-term studies investigating strongyle egg shedding patterns taking account of season, climate and management practices are warranted as these factors impact the intensity of larval contamination on pasture, which will in turn affect the egg shedding intensity downstream. This will help to better inform FEC-directed targeted anthelmintic treatment programmes, and to understand the appropriate frequency of FEC testing.

In conclusion, egg shedding and treatment status at individual level were found to be relatively consistent, especially in adult horses, regardless of whether or not they had been treated with anthelmintic, indicating that horses with negative or low FEC (<50 epg) initially were significantly more likely to have low FEC on subsequent occasions, and horses with a high FEC (≥ 200 epg) were significantly more likely to have a high FEC on subsequent occasions. Further, an adult horse not requiring anthelmintic treatment initially (based on a 200 epg threshold), would be less likely to require treatment on subsequent occasions in that season. These findings would suggest that the majority of adult horses are able to regulate their strongyle burden, leading to a maintained low FEC status. There is a lack of published information on long term patterns of strongyle egg shedding in equine populations, especially in horses managed under FEC-directed anthelmintic treatment programmes; this should be assessed in future, particularly in horses that are not receiving regular anthelmintic treatments.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge funding from the Elise Pilkington Trust for this study. They are indebted to the yard owners/managers and/or attending veterinary surgeons for the supply of equine faecal samples and to Sheena Tarrant, Emma Wood and Rachel Cookson for help with the FEC analysis.

Manufacturer's details

^aStrongid-PTM paste, Elanco Animal Health, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK.

^bEqvalan[®] oral paste for horses, Merial Animal Health, Harlow, Essex, UK.

^cEquest[®] Oral Gel, Zoetis UK Limited, Tadworth, Surrey, UK.

References

- [1] Crofton, H.D. (1971) A model of host–parasite relationships. *Parasitol.* **63**, 343-364.
- [2] Anderson, R.M. and May RM. (1978) Regulation and Stability of Host-Parasite Population Interactions: I. Regulatory Processes. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **47**, 219-247.
- [3] Shaw, D.J. and Dobson, A.P. (1995) Patterns of macroparasite abundance and aggregation in wildlife populations: a quantitative review. *Parasitol.* **111**, S111-S133.
- [4] Calabrese, J.M., Brunner, J.L. and Ostfeld, R.S. (2011) Partitioning the aggregation of parasites on hosts into intrinsic and extrinsic components via an extended Poisson-gamma mixture model. *PloS one.* **6**:e29215.
- [5] Kaplan, R.M. and Nielsen, M.K. (2010) An evidence-based approach to equine parasite control: It ain't the 60s anymore. *Equine Vet. Edu.* **22**, 306-316.

- 378 [6] Relf, V.E., Morgan, E.R., Hodgkinson, J.E. and Matthews, J.B. (2013) Helminth
 379 egg excretion with regard to age, gender and management practices on UK
 380 Thoroughbred studs. *Parasitol.***140**, 641-652.
- 381 [7] Wood, E.L.D., Matthews, J.B., Stephenson, S., Slote, M. and Nussey, D.H.
 382 (2013) Variation in fecal egg counts in horses managed for conservation
 383 purposes: individual egg shedding consistency, age effects and seasonal
 384 variation. *Parasitol.* **140**, 115-128.
- 385 [8] Lester, H.E., Spanton, J., Stratford, C.H., Bartley, D.J., Morgan, E.R.,
 386 Hoddgkinson, J.E., Coumbe, K., Mair, T., Swan, B., Lemon, G., Cookson, R.
 387 and Matthews, J.B. (2013). Anthelmintic efficacy against cyathostomins in
 388 horses in Southern England. *Vet. Parasitol.* **197**,189-196.
- 389 [9] Gomez, H.H. and Georgi, J.R. (1991) Equine helminth infections: control by
 390 selective chemotherapy. *Equine Vet. J.* **23**, 198-200.
- 391 [10] Lester, H.E. and Matthews, J.B. (2014) Faecal worm egg count analysis for
 392 targeting anthelmintic treatment in horses: Points to consider. *Equine Vet. J.*
 393 **46**, 139-145.
- 394 [11] Dopfer, D., Kerssens, C., Meijer, Y., Boersema, J.H. and Eysker, M. (2004).
 395 Shedding consistency of strongyle-type eggs in Dutch boarding horses. *Vet.*
 396 *Parasitol.* **124**, 249 - 258.
- 397 [12] Nielsen, M., Haaning, N. and Olsen, S. (2006) Strongyle egg shedding
 398 consistency in horses on farms using selective therapy in Denmark. *Vet.*
 399 *Parasitol.* **135**, 333 - 335.
- 400 [13] Becher, A.M., Mahling, M., Nielsen, M.K. and Pfister, K. (2010) Selective
 401 anthelmintic therapy of horses in the Federal states of Bavaria (Germany) and

- Salzburg (Austria): An investigation into strongyle egg shedding consistency.
Vet. Parasitol. **171**, 116-122.
- [14] Scheuerle, M.C., Stear, M.J., Honeder, A., Becher, A.M., Pfister, K. (2016)
Repeatability of strongyle egg counts in naturally infected horses. Vet.
Parasitol. **228**, 103-107.
- [15] Borgsteede, F.H., Boersma, J.H., Gaasenbeek, C.P. and van der Burg, W.P.
(1993) The reappearance of eggs in faeces of horses after treatment with
ivermectin. Vet. Q. **15**, 24-26.
- [16] Mercier, P., Chick, B., Alves-Branco, F. and White, C.R. (2001) Comparative
efficacy, persistent effect, and treatment intervals of anthelmintic pastes in
naturally infected horses. Vet. Parasitol. **99**, 29-39.
- [17] Nielsen, M.K., Vidyashankar, A.N., Andersen, U.V., Delisis, K., Pilegaard, K.,
Kaplan, R.M (2010) Effects of faecal collection and storage factors on
strongylid egg counts in horses. Vet. Parasitol. **20**, 56-61.
- [18] Christie, M. and Jackson, F. (1982) Specific identification of strongyle eggs in
small samples of sheep faeces. Res.Vet. Sci. **32**, 113-117.
- [19] Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliever, A.A. and Smith, G.M. (2009).
Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R, Springer.
- [20] Crawley, M.J. (2012) Regression. In: The R Book, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
pp. 449-497.
- [21] Hosmer, D.W. and Lemeshow, S. (2005) Assessing the Fit of the Model. In:
Applied Logistic Regression, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 143-202.
- [22] Lele, S.R. (2009) A New Method for Estimation of Resource Selection
Probability Function. J. Wildlife Man. **73**, 122-127.

- 426 [23] Nielsen, M.K., Reinemeyer, C.R., Donecker, J.M., Leathwick, D.M.,
 427 Marchiondo, A.A. and Kaplan, R.M. (2014) Anthelmintic resistance in equine
 428 parasites—Current evidence and knowledge gaps. *Vet. Parasitol.* **204**, 55-63.
- 429 [24] Torgerson, P.R., Paul, M., Lewis, M.I. (2012) The contribution of simple
 430 random sampling to observed variations in faecal egg counts. *Vet Parasitol.*
 431 **188**, 397-401.
- 432 [25] Herd, R.P. and Gabel, A.A. (1990) Reduced efficacy of anthelmintics in young
 433 compared with adult horses. *Equine Vet. J.* **22**, 164-169.
- 434 [26] Cobb, R. and Boeckh, A. (2009). Moxidectin: a review of chemistry,
 435 pharmacokinetics and use in horses. *Parasites & Vectors* **2**, S5.
- 436 [27] Xiao, L., Herd, R.P., Majewski, G.A. (1994) Comparative efficacy of
 437 moxidectin and ivermectin against hypobiotic and encysted cyathostomes and
 438 other equine parasites. *Vet Parasitol.* **53**, 83-90.
- 439 [28] Schumacher, J. and Taintor, J. (2008) A review of the use of moxidectin in
 440 horses. *Equine Vet. Edu.* **20**, 546-551.
- 441 [29] Bairden, .K, Davies, H.S., Gibson, N.R. (2006) Efficacy of moxidectin 2 per
 442 cent oral gel against cyathostomins, particularly third-stage inhibited larvae, in
 443 horses. *Vet Rec.* **158**, 766-768.
- 444 [30] Corbett, C., Love, S., Moore, A., Burden, F., Matthews, J., Denwood, M.
 445 (2014) The effectiveness of faecal removal methods of pasture management
 446 to control the cyathostomin burden of donkeys. *Parasites & Vectors.* **7**:48.
 447

448 **Tables**

449 **Table 1.** Variables included in the initial logistic regression model

Variable	Responses
Age category	Foal (<2 years), youngster (≥ 2 and <5 years), adult (≥ 5 and < 18 years) and geriatric (≥ 18 years).
Last anthelmintic class administered	IVM, MOX, PYR
Time since expected *ERP elapsed	Weeks
Notes: *Egg reappearance period (ERP); ivermectin (IVM); moxidectin (MOX), pyrantel (PYR)	

450

451

452

453

454

Table 2. Factors significantly affecting the odds of a horse changing egg shedding category (Model 1) and treatment category (Model 2) between sampling occasions S1 and S3 as assessed by logistic regression. For each significant variable, the logit coefficient, the standard error (SE), the odds ratio (OR) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI), and the significance ($p < 0.05$) are presented.

Model (Fit ¹)	Significant variable	Factor	Logit coefficient	(SE)	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> (Wald)
1 (0.11)			1.44	0.27	Na	<0.0001
	Age	Youngsters (2-5 years)	1.20	0.55	3.3 (1.22 – 8.46)	0.02 (LRT)
	Last treatment	MOX	-1.90	0.31	0.15 (0.05-0.17)	<0.0001
2 (0.38)			1.48	0.22	Na	<0.0001
	Age	Youngsters (2-5 years)	1.04	0.47	2.8 (1.1 - 6.3)	0.028 (LRT)
	Last treatment	MOX	-1.89	0.61	0.15 (0.1 – 0.4)	<0.0001

Notes: ¹ Model fit was assessed using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test. **MOX** = moxidectin, **SE**=standard error, **OR** = odds ratio, **Na**= not applicable; **LRT** = likelihood ratio test.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The percentage of horses that fell into each strongyle egg shedding category (1-7) on each screening occasion (S1-S4), with category 1 (<49 eggs per gram (epg) represented by the darkest shade of grey and subsequent categories in lighter shades (A). The percentage of horses that were either shedding <200 epg (Category 0, dark grey) or ≥ 200 epg (Category 2, light grey) as measured by faecal egg count (FEC) on each screening occasion (B). The width of the bars is proportional to the number of observations per screening occasion. No statistical differences ($p < 0.05$) in proportions between screening occasions as determined by the binomial test were observed.

Figure 2. The rank change in egg shedding category and treatment category between sampling occasions (S1 and S2, S1 and S3 and S1 and S4). The percentage of horses either remained in the same egg shedding category (0), increased egg shedding category (1 to 6) or decreased egg shedding category (-1 to -6), with a rank change in -6 categories represented by the darkest shade of grey and subsequent ascending categories in lighter shades (A). The percentage of horses that were either remained in the same treatment category (0, mid-grey), increased in treatment category (1, light grey) or decreased in treatment category (-1, dark grey) (B) as measured by faecal egg count (FEC) on each screening occasion. The width of the bars is proportional to the number of observations per screening occasion. There were no statistical differences ($p < 0.05$) in proportions between screening occasions as determined by the binomial test.